

**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): CHARLESTON CONSORTIUM

State: WV

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$13,196,118

PJ's Size Grouping\*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1994

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	B	Overall
<b>Program Progress:</b>				PJs in State:	<b>4</b>		
% of Funds Committed	<div>87.63</div> %	<div>88.08</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>90.67</div> %	<div>27</div>	<div>29</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>82.74</div> %	<div>83.02</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>80.33</div> %	<div>50</div>	<div>50</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>0</div>	<div>1.42</div>	<div>3</div>	<div>4.49</div>	<div>0</div>	<div>0</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>96.01</div> %	<div>43.61</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>79.86</div> %	<div>57</div>	<div>50</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>74.52</div> %	<div>42.56</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>66.79</div> %	<div>59</div>	<div>58</div>	
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>27.86</div> %	<div>53.65</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>78.76</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>2</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>20.00</div> %	<div>32.12</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>44.26</div> %	<div>9</div>	<div>11</div>	
<b>Lease-Up:</b>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>82.14</div> %	<div>85.40</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>93.34</div> %	<div>10</div>	<div>9</div>	
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State:	<div>2</div> / <div>4</div>	Nationally:	<div>10</div> <div>13</div>	
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>							
Rental Unit	<div>\$8,429</div>	<div>\$12,883</div>		<div>\$24,843</div>	<div>140</div> Units	<div>31.10</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$25,943</div>	<div>\$15,815</div>		<div>\$14,028</div>	<div>304</div> Units	<div>67.60</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$7,317</div>	<div>\$24,592</div>		<div>\$19,949</div>	<div>6</div> Units	<div>1.30</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$313</div>		<div>\$3,158</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): HUNTINGTON CONSORTIUM

State: WV

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$15,012,885

PJ's Size Grouping\*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**	
					Group B	Overall
<b>Program Progress:</b>			PJs in State: <div>4</div>			
% of Funds Committed	<div>88.43</div> %	<div>88.08</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>90.67</div> %	<div>35</div>	<div>35</div>
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>80.02</div> %	<div>83.02</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>80.33</div> %	<div>35</div>	<div>37</div>
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>2.77</div>	<div>1.42</div>	<div>2</div>	<div>4.49</div>	<div>30</div>	<div>35</div>
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>29.92</div> %	<div>43.61</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>79.86</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>3</div>
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>21.66</div> %	<div>42.56</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>66.79</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>3</div>
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>79.80</div> %	<div>53.65</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>78.76</div> %	<div>41</div>	<div>38</div>
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>56.57</div> %	<div>32.12</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>44.26</div> %	<div>78</div>	<div>72</div>
<b>Lease-Up:</b>						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>90.91</div> %	<div>85.40</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>93.34</div> %	<div>24</div>	<div>21</div>
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State: <div>3</div> / <div>4</div>		Nationally: <div>8</div> <div>11</div>	
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>						
Rental Unit	<div>\$17,822</div>	<div>\$12,883</div>		<div>\$24,843</div>	<div>99</div> Units	<div>8.40</div> %
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$15,244</div>	<div>\$15,815</div>		<div>\$14,028</div>	<div>276</div> Units	<div>23.40</div> %
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$34,144</div>	<div>\$24,592</div>		<div>\$19,949</div>	<div>15</div> Units	<div>1.30</div> %
TBRA Unit	<div>\$313</div>	<div>\$313</div>		<div>\$3,158</div>	<div>787</div> Units	<div>66.90</div> %

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): PARKERSBURG CONSORTIUM

State: WV

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$5,571,680

PJ's Size Grouping\*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1996

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	C	Overall
				PJs in State:	4		
<b>Program Progress:</b>							
% of Funds Committed	82.35 %	88.08 %	4	90.67 %	13	12	
% of Funds Disbursed	79.76 %	83.02 %	4	80.33 %	38	36	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	8.2	1.42	1	4.49	100	100	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	4.26 %	43.61 %	4	79.86 %	0	0	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	65.59 %	42.56 %	3	66.79 %	40	40	
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	100.00 %	53.65 %	1	78.76 %	100	100	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	0.00 %	32.12 %	4	44.26 %	0	0	
<b>Lease-Up:</b>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	100.00 %	85.40 %	1	93.34 %	100	100	
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State:	4 / 4	Nationally:	12 10	
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>							
Rental Unit	\$3,413	\$12,883		\$24,843	5 Units	3.10 %	
Homebuyer Unit	\$27,571	\$15,815		\$14,028	103 Units	64.80 %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$26,089	\$24,592		\$19,949	51 Units	32.10 %	
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$313		\$3,158	0 Units	0.00 %	

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): WHEELING CONSORTIUM

State: WV

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,093,817

PJ's Size Grouping\*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1996

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**	
					Group	C Overall
<b>Program Progress:</b>			PJs in State:	4		
% of Funds Committed	92.65 %	88.08 %	1	90.67 %	62	63
% of Funds Disbursed	92.44 %	83.02 %	1	80.33 %	91	93
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	0	1.42	3	4.49	0	0
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	100.00 %	43.61 %	1	79.86 %	100	100
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	73.48 %	42.56 %	2	66.79 %	52	55
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	80.00 %	53.65 %	2	78.76 %	35	39
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	13.33 %	32.12 %	3	44.26 %	8	6
<b>Lease-Up:</b>						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	80.00 %	85.40 %	4	93.34 %	6	8
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State:	1 / 4	Nationally:	22 20
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>						
Rental Unit	\$18,948	\$12,883		\$24,843	30 Units	4.90 %
Homebuyer Unit	\$8,617	\$15,815		\$14,028	574 Units	93.60 %
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$11,708	\$24,592		\$19,949	9 Units	1.50 %
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$313		\$3,158	0 Units	0.00 %

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.

